

Long range electronic order in a metastable state created by ultrafast topological transformation

Yaroslav A. Gerasimenko,^{*} Igor Vaskivskyi, and Dragan Mihailovic[†]

CENN Nanocenter, Jamova 39, SI-1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia and

Department of Complex Matter, Jozef Stefan Institute,

Jamova 39, SI-1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia

(Dated: April 27, 2017)

Abstract

The fundamental idea that many body systems in complex materials may self-organise into long range order under highly non-equilibrium conditions leads to the notion that entirely new emergent states with new and unexpected functionalities might be created^{1–7}. In this paper we show for the first time that a complex metastable state with long range order can be created through a non-equilibrium topological transformation in a transition metal dichalcogenide. Combining ultrafast optical pulse excitation with orbitally-resolved large-area scanning tunnelling microscopy we find subtle, but unambiguous evidence for long range electronic order which is different from all other known states in the system, and whose complex domain structure is not random, but is described by harmonics of the underlying charge density wave order. We show that the structure of the state is topologically distinct from the ground state, elucidating the origins of its remarkable metastability. These fundamental insights on the mechanism open the way to in-situ engineering of the emergent properties of metastable materials with ultrafast laser pulses.

Photoexcited metastable states in crystals have lifetimes which typically range from picoseconds to microseconds^{1–5}, which makes it hard to investigate their structure in sufficient detail to reveal transient mesoscopic or microscopic order⁸. Uniquely, as a model system, 1T-TaS₂ (TDS) has a metastable state with a temperature-tunable lifetime, which is usefully long on laboratory timescales at low temperatures^{6,9}. This opens the possibility of studying not only the fine structure, but also the mechanism for metastability with high resolution scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM). In this layered di-chalcogenide system the competition of lattice strain, a Fermi surface instability and Coulomb interactions leads to many emergent phases and a multidimensional multi-parameter phase diagram^{10–12}. It is also known to have photoexcited metastable states outside the equilibrium phase diagram (Fig. 1a,b). In equilibrium, the high-temperature metallic state of TDS is unstable towards a three-pronged quasi-two dimensional Fermi surface nesting instability¹³ causing first a transition at $T_{IC} = 540$ K to a uniform three-directional incommensurate (IC) charge density wave (CDW) state, then a nearly-commensurate state at $T_{NC} = 350$ K with nearly commensurate (NC) patches separated by domain walls (DWs)¹⁴, and eventually to a fully commensurate (C) state below $180 \sim 220$ K shown schematically^{14,15} in Fig. 1a. The localized electrons in the C state have a remarkable star of David (DS) ‘polaron lattice’ structure, (Fig. 1d) where in each polaron exactly one electron is localised on the central Ta of the DS and the 12 surrounding Ta atoms are displaced towards it.

Photoexcitation by a single ultrafast optical pulse shorter than ~ 4 ps was shown to cause an insulator-to-metal transition (IMT) to a metastable hidden (H) state, but the only indication that this state might have long range order (LRO) came from a tiny shift of frequency of the collective amplitude mode of the CDW⁶. The authors attributed the IMT to a transformation of the insulating C state to a stripe-textured charge-symmetry broken state under non-equilibrium conditions. However, no direct experimental evidence for LRO was so far presented in this, or any other system created under nonequilibrium conditions. IMT switching caused by direct charge injection through electrodes was soon demonstrated in TDS^{16–22} while switching by STM tip revealed that the uniform CCDW can be broken into non-periodic domains similar to what one might expect for a supercooled NC phase. No LRO was observed however^{18,19}. Apparent new states were found by ultrafast electron diffraction (UED)²² at significantly larger photoexcitation densities, but the k-space resolution was insufficient to resolve the ordering vector or the domain structure, so the important

fundamental conceptual question whether metastable LRO can form under non-equilibrium conditions remains unanswered. Here we have used in situ ultrafast optical switching in combination with a low-temperature orbitally resolved STM to show unprecedented structural details of the photoexcited metastable state both on mesoscopic and microscopic scales, and reveal the subtle, but fundamentally important distinctions between its electronic LRO and the numerous other states in the system.

Results

We photoexcite freshly in-situ cleaved single crystals of TDS with focused 50 fs single pulses at 400 nm within a UHV STM chamber. A scanning electron microscope is used for accurately positioning the tip and the beam on the sample (Fig. 1e). The pulse fluence was adjusted to just above switching threshold at 0.9 mJ/cm^2 ²⁶. To determine both LRO and local structure of charge modulation, STM images of C, NC and H states were measured on different length scales (Fig. 2). Large area scan shows a regular polaron lattice in the C ground state at 4.2 K (Fig. 2a). Above 280K, in the NC state this breaks up into a semi-regular modulated structure (Fig. 2c). The corresponding atomic-scale images are shown in Fig. 2b and d respectively. In the photoexcited H state at 4.2 K (Fig. 2e) the uniform C lattice is transformed into domains of diverse sizes and shapes, separated by sharply defined walls. An important observation is that the actual pattern is different every time the experiment is performed, indicating that the domain structure is determined by the intrinsic fluctuations and the underlying ordering process rather than sample defects and imperfections.

Fourier transforms (FTs) of the large-area scans show a set of peaks shown in Fig. 3 with exceptional reciprocal space resolution, allowing us to study fine details of the different charge modulations described by the vectors $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$, $Q_C^{(i)}$ and $Q_H^{(i)}$, for the NC, C and H states respectively. FTs of atomic resolution scans (Figs. 3a,e) for the C and H states respectively allow us to determine the relation between charge modulation vectors and the underlying atomic lattice vectors a^* and b^* for either state.

In the C state, CDW wavevectors $\pm Q_C^{(i)}$ appear as the six brightest peaks in the FT in Fig. 3a. Less intense atomic peaks coincide exactly with the linear combination of the CDW vectors, $a^* = 3Q_C^{(1)} - Q_C^{(2)}$ (Fig. 3b), defining the CDW commensurability with the underlying

lattice. The NC state has additional domain structure, giving rise to peak splitting in the FT. Fig. 3c shows the CDW unit cell, where each vertex consists of one bright superlattice peak surrounded by several less intense satellite peaks. The bright peak corresponds to fundamental CDW wavevectors $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$, while the satellites characterise the domain periodicity, $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ shown in the inset to Fig. 3c. The NC state CDW vector is slightly different from $Q_C^{(i)}$, so a discommensuration (domain wall) must occur every time the deviation between the commensurate and the real CDW lattice is equal to one interatomic distance. This determines the phase jump between two neighbouring domains (shown by the dashed line in Fig. 2g). Non-linear mixing of CDW components¹⁴ gives rise to additional modulations and resulting satellite peaks (Fig. 3d), at:

$$Q_{sat}^{(1)} = a^* - 2Q_{NC}^{(1)} + Q_{NC}^{(2)}. \quad (1)$$

The interference between the different orders produces a *periodic* domain structure with wavevector $k_{domain}^{(i)} = Q_{NC}^{(i)} - Q_{sat}^{(i)}$, as shown in Fig. 3c¹⁴.

In the photoexcited state, we first ascertain the relation between the atomic lattice peaks and the CDW lattice peaks from a FT of atomic resolution STM image of several domains²⁴ (Fig. 3e). From the position of the second order CDW peaks (for higher accuracy) we obtain $\phi = 13.45^\circ \pm 0.35^\circ$, which differs by 0.45° to the C state, and 0.35° from the nearest reported NC state²³ (see Supplementary Information for error estimation). This is the first indication that the H state is unambiguously different from the two equilibrium states. In Fig. 4a we plot its position in a combined $\phi - Q^{(i)}$ phase diagram of TDS. Remarkably, the H state Q-vector does not follow the extrapolated NC line, but rather lies intermediate between C and NC – in a region of parameters where no states have been observed before.

The periodicity of the CDW and the domains in the H state can be determined from FTs of large area scans over $200 \times 200 \text{ nm}^2$, revealing underlying hidden order within the domain network. We see six high-intensity peaks with rich satellite structure in their vicinity (Fig. 3f). The largest amplitude modulation in the topographic image comes from individual polarons, giving the fundamental CDW vectors, $Q_H^{(i)}$. Their FWHM angular width is 0.16° (cf. Fig. 3g) which demonstrates that the H state $Q_H^{(i)}$ s are *homogeneously* rotated w.r.t. the C state over a macroscopically large area ($\sim 200 \times 200 \text{ nm}$), which is a clear indication that the H state has LRO.

If we apply the NC commensurability condition (1) and replace the Q_{NC}^i with $Q_H^{(i)}$ deter-

mined from the atomic resolution STM image, the satellite position should be at $\Theta \approx 1.87^\circ$, which is indeed observed in Fig. 3f,h. However, the H state displays a number of additional satellite peaks up to $\Theta_{max} \approx 9.5^\circ$, with all of them belonging to the single fundamental vector (see Supplementary Fig. 5). The description of the H state thus cannot be obtained just by replacing Q_{NC} with Q_H , so we must look further.

Generally, a good overall phenomenological understanding of the equilibrium states is based on Landau theory by Nakanishi-Shiba (NS)¹⁴, where the discommensuration vector $q^{(i)} = Q_{NC}^{(i)} - Q_C^{(i)}$ is used as the variable for an expansion of the free energy F . The minimum of F occurs when commensurability is achieved inside domains and the domains are optimally packed. Such packing is achieved by optimizing the phase shifts between domains. Formally, the domain structure can be written in terms of “harmonics” $q_{lmn}^{(i)} = lk_{domain}^{(1)} + mk_{domain}^{(2)} + nk_{domain}^{(3)} + q^{(i)}$, where l, m, n are integers and $k_{domain}^{(1)} = 3q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}$ (see Supplementary Fig. 2 for details). While the NC state is described well with $l, m, n = 1$ (Fig. 3c)¹⁴, the H state requires higher harmonics to describe all the satellites up to $\Theta_{max} \approx 9.5^\circ$.

In the H-state, the satellites along the $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ domain direction in Fig. 3h can be fitted with harmonics of $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ in the harmonic expansion using the angle ϕ and the length $Q_H^{(i)}/a^*$ of the CDW vector as variable parameters (see Supplementary Information for fitting details). A good fit is obtained using harmonics up to $l, m, n = 5$ with $\phi = 13.51^\circ$ and $Q_H^{(i)}/a^* = 0.279$. ϕ and Q_H are in good agreement with the FT of the orbitally-resolved image (Fig. 3e). We note that these values are close to the first metastable state observed under optical excitation in time-resolved UED data²². There it was tentatively associated with a triclinic CDW, but our higher resolution allows us to associate it with the hidden state (see Supplementary Fig. 7 for comparison). A real-space simulation of these harmonics is compared with STM images in Figs. 4d,e, illustrating the origin of the delicate textures appearing in Figs. 3b,c.

Discussion

To understand how observed LRO forms with just-above-threshold photoexcitation, and why the electronic configuration remains stable after cooling, we first consider the sequence of events after the photons are absorbed. The electrons (and holes) are excited far out of equilibrium for a short time $1 \sim 3$ ps, their estimated peak temperature being near 1000 K⁶,

while the lattice barely reaches $150K \ll T_{NC-C}$ under these conditions. The C→H transition thus cannot be discussed as a global non-equilibrium symmetry-breaking transition, and a purely electronic ordering mechanism is required, without lattice involvement. During the $1 \sim 3$ ps time window photoemission experiments show a transient shift of the chemical potential μ_c ²⁶, causing a momentary renormalization of the Fermi surface nesting wavevector, making it different from the equilibrium value that causes the equilibrium CDW states to form. As the electrons rapidly cool, through mutual interaction they lock into a LRO charge modulation with a new wavevector Q_H and accompanying domain structure. The lattice adjusts to the new electronic order within a fraction of the oscillation period of the collective amplitude mode, completing the transformation. The new domain structure is subtly different from any known or hypothetical quenched or supercooled state of TDS, and already from the phase shifts between domains it is clear that one cannot transform the H state to the C state by any continuous transformation. The two states are thus topologically distinct, and the H state is protected against single-particle relaxation to the ground state^{6,27}, leading to its remarkable stability. Out-of-plane stacking may also contribute to the stability of the H state²⁸, and STM experiments show that domain walls on subsequent layers avoid each other (see Supplementary Fig. 8), indicating that inter-layer stacking is not entirely absent from the total energy of ordering. However, the very large out-of-plane versus in-plane resistivity persisting into the H state²⁹, implies a secondary role for out-of-plane interactions which still remains to be elucidated. We note that the STM-tip switched states^{18,19}, and the domain wall pattern is without long-range periodicity (see Supplementary Discussion 1), which sets the STM-switched phenomenon apart from the H state discussed here. Trivially, we note that the H-state FT picture is also different from the hypothetical supercooled NC state (see Supplementary Discussion 2). We have thus proved the existence of a non-equilibrium topological transformation mechanism in photoexcited systems.

The metallicity in the H state is directly related to the itinerant electrons released from localized states upon formation of the DWs. The number of vacant sites is $n_{sites} - n_p \simeq 0.13$ per TDS unit cell (Fig. 4f), which is of the same order as the Hall-density of electrons $n_{Hall}^{NC} = 0.2 \sim 0.4$ per unit cell ($\simeq 3 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) in the NC state at high temperatures³⁰.

The minute difference in ordering wavevector compared to the equilibrium states and delicate pattern of domain walls makes the photoexcited H state very subtle and easy to miss experimentally. The validation of the concept of formation of LRO through many-body

interaction under non-equilibrium conditions, and the underlying topological transformation mechanism revealed by these experiments represents an important step towards finding new emergent metastable states in complex materials. The leap in understanding which it brings leads to the design of novel non-volatile memory devices in which information is stored in topologically protected electronic many-body states without the involvement of ions or electron spins.

Methods

The results presented here were measured in the custom-built Omicron Nanoprobe 4-probe UHV LT STM with base temperature of 4.2 K and optical access for laser photoexcitation (Fig. 1e). High-quality crystals of 1T-TaS₂ were synthesized with iodine vapor transport. Samples were cleaved in situ at UHV conditions and slowly cooled down to 4.2 K.

Photoexcitation of 1T-TaS₂ single crystals was performed at 4.2 K (C-state) with a single 50 fs optical pulse at ~ 400 nm (second-harmonic generation from 800 nm Ti-Sapphire laser) focused onto a $100\ \mu\text{m}$ diameter spot within STM UHV chamber. This ensures highly spatially homogeneous excitation on the scale of the STM scans ($\sim 200\text{ nm}$). It is important that photoexcitation energy density is carefully adjusted to be slightly above the threshold value ($\sim 0.9\text{ mJ/cm}^2$) for switching to the hidden state. Significantly higher excitation energies result in heating of the lattice above the phase transition temperature T_{NC-C} ⁶, which we want to avoid.

The surface was characterised each time before photoexcitation to confirm a defect-free initial C state. The beam was aligned at low power to hit the apex of the STM tip. Then the tip was retracted and a high-power single pulse was applied (Fig. 1e). After approaching the tip, an area of the order of $500\times 500\text{ nm}^2$ was checked for homogeneity.

Contrast adjustment in Fourier transforms is routinely used for analysis of STM data in 1T-TaS₂ and is described thoroughly in literature¹⁵. Here all FT images has max/min ratio of ≈ 2.5 , approximately an order of magnitude of absolute FT amplitude. Only parts of the images used for FT are shown in Fig. 2. Full-scale versions of those for the hidden state are shown in Supplementary Fig. 12. Raw images were corrected to match triangular lattice using standard procedure (see Supplementary Information).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by European Research Council advanced grant “TRAJECTORY”. We are grateful for discussions with S. Brazovskii and H. W. Yeom.

Author contributions

Y.G. and I.V. performed the measurements, Y.G. and D.M. wrote the paper. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the manuscript preparation.

* Electronic address: yaroslav.gerasimenko@ijs.si

† Electronic address: dragan.mihailovic@ijs.si

- ¹ Cavalleri, A. *et al.* Femtosecond Structural Dynamics in VO₂ during an Ultrafast Solid-Solid Phase Transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 237401 (2001).
- ² Takubo, N. *et al.* Persistent and Reversible All-Optical Phase Control in a Manganite Thin Film. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 017404 (2005)
- ³ Liu, M. *et al.* Terahertz-field-induced insulator-to-metal transition in vanadium dioxide meta-material. *Nature* **487**, 345-348 (2012).
- ⁴ Koshihara, S. *et al.* Photoinduced valence instability in the organic molecular compound tetrathiafulvalene-*p*-chloranil (TTF-CA). *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 6853(R) (1990).
- ⁵ Fausti, D. *et al.* Light-Induced Superconductivity in a Stripe-Ordered Cuprate. *Science* **331**, 189-191 (2011).
- ⁶ Stojchevska, L. *et al.* Ultrafast Switching to a Stable Hidden Quantum State in an Electronic Crystal. *Science* **344**, 177180 (2014).
- ⁷ Zhang, J. *et al.* Cooperative photoinduced metastable phase control in strained manganite films. *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 956960 (2016).
- ⁸ Dönges, S. A. *et al.* Ultrafast Nanoimaging of the Photoinduced Phase Transition Dynamics in VO₂. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 3029-3035 (2016).
- ⁹ Vaskivskiy, I. *et al.* Controlling the metal-to-insulator relaxation of the metastable hidden quantum state in 1T-TaS₂. *Sci. Adv.* **1**, e1500168 (2015).

- ¹⁰ Sipos, B. *et al.* From Mott state to superconductivity in 1T-TaS₂. *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 960-965 (2008).
- ¹¹ Li, L. J. *et al.* Fe-doping induced superconductivity in the charge-density-wave system 1T-TaS₂. *Europhys. Lett.* **97**, 67005 (2012).
- ¹² Liu, Y. *et al.* Superconductivity induced by Se-doping in layered charge-density-wave system 1T-TaS_{2-x}Se_x. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 192602 (2013).
- ¹³ Rossnagel, K. On the origin of charge-density waves in select layered transition-metal dichalcogenides. *J. Phys.: Condens. Mat.* **23**, 213001 (2011).
- ¹⁴ Nakanishi, K., Takatera, H., Yamada, Y., Shiba, H. The Nearly Commensurate Phase and Effect of Harmonics on the Successive Phase Transition in 1T-TaS₂. *J. Phys. Soc. Jap.* **43**, 1509-1517 (1977).
- ¹⁵ Thomson, R. E., Burk, B., Zettl, A., Clarke, J. Scanning tunneling microscopy of the charge-density-wave structure in 1T-TaS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 16899 (1994).
- ¹⁶ Vaskivskiy, I. *et al.* Fast electronic resistance switching involving hidden charge density wave states. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11442 (2016).
- ¹⁷ Yoshida, M., Suzuki, R., Zhang, Y., Nakano, M., Iwasa, Y. Memristive phase switching in two-dimensional 1T-TaS₂ crystals. *Sci. Adv.* **1**, e1500606 (2015).
- ¹⁸ Cho, D. *et al.* Nanoscale manipulation of the Mott insulating state coupled to charge order in 1T-TaS₂. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10453 (2016).
- ¹⁹ Ma, L. *et al.* A metallic mosaic phase and the origin of Mott-insulating state in 1T-TaS₂. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10956 (2016).
- ²⁰ Hollander, M. J. *et al.* Electrically Driven Reversible Insulator-Metal Phase Transition in 1T-TaS₂. *Nano Lett.* **15**, 1861-1866 (2015).
- ²¹ Tsen, A. W. *et al.* Structure and control of charge density waves in two-dimensional 1T-TaS₂. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **112**, 15054 (2015).
- ²² Han, T.-R. T. *et al.* Exploration of metastability and hidden phases in correlated electron crystals visualized by femtosecond optical doping and electron crystallography. *Sci. Adv.* **1**, e1400173 (2015).
- ²³ Yamada, Y. and Takatera, H. Origin of the Stabilization of the Nearly Commensurate Phase in 1T-TaS₂. *Sol. Stat. Comm.* **21**, 41-44 (1977).
- ²⁴ Wu, X. L. and Lieber, C. M. Direct Observation of Growth and Melting of the Hexagonal-Domain Charge-Density-Wave Phase in 1T-TaS₂ by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy. *Phys. Rev.*

- Lett.* **64**, 1150 (1990).
- ²⁵ Scruby, C. B., Williams, P. M., Parry, G. S. The role of charge density waves in structural transformations of 1T-TaS₂. *Phil. Mag.* **31**, 255-274 (1975).
 - ²⁶ Kirchmann, P. S. *et al.* Towards a Microscopic Picture of the Photo-Induced Metastable State in TaS₂. *PIPT-5 conference abstract* (2014).
 - ²⁷ Brazovskii, S. Modeling of Evolution of a Complex Electronic System to an Ordered Hidden State: Application to Optical Quench in 1T-TaS₂. *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.* **28**, 1349-1353 (2015).
 - ²⁸ Ritschel, T. *et al.* Orbital textures and charge density waves in transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 328331 (2015).
 - ²⁹ Svetin, D., Vaskivskyi, I., Brazovskii, S., Mihailovic, D. Three-dimensional resistivity and switching between correlated electronic states in 1T-TaS₂. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 46048 (2017).
 - ³⁰ Inada, R., Ōnuki, Y., Tanuma, S. Hall effect of 1T-TaS₂ and 1T-TaSe₂. *Physica B & C* **99**, 188-192 (1980).

Figures




FIG. 1: Overview of charge density wave states 1T-TaS₂ and experiment design: **a**, Schematic diagram of the TDS charge density wave states at equilibrium conditions on cooling and heating, as indicated by arrows direction. The states differ in their relation to the Ta atomic lattice and can be incommensurate (IC), nearly commensurate (NC), triclinic (T) or commensurate (C). Blue insets show the simulations of patterns in Ta lattice created by each of these states (see Supplementary Information). Ta atoms are shown with bright dots. **b**, Non-equilibrium hidden (H) CDW state is created by an intense ultrafast optical pulse applied to CCDW and appears to be different from any equilibrium CDW state. The stability of the H state is indicated with color, where its lifetime is temperature dependent¹⁶. **c**, **d**, 1T-TaS₂ unit cell and the top view of its *a-b* crystal surface. CDW creates the $\sqrt{13} \times \sqrt{13}$ star of David distortion (red) in Ta lattice, where twelve atoms are displaced to the central one, as indicated by arrows (commensurate state is shown here). **e**, Schematic view of the combined scanning tunneling microscope (STM) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) system with optical access for ultrafast laser. Here SEM imaging is used for ensuring large-scale homogeneity of scan area and precise alignment of the laser spot and STM tip. False-color SEM image in the inset shows the cleaved *a-b* surface of TDS single crystal with the sharp tungsten STM tip approached. After beam alignment the tip is retracted and the area underneath is illuminated with a focused single ultrafast laser pulse. Focus adjustment is done outside the UHV chamber.




FIG. 2: STM images of hidden and equilibrium CDW states in 1T-TaS₂: **a**, Large-area STM image ($V_t = -800$ mV, $I = 1.5$ nA) of the commensurate CDW shows uniform periodic nm-scale modulation of the crystal surface. Each bright dot is the bulge created by the DS distortion of Ta lattice. **b**, Zoom-in STM image shows CCDW with atomic resolution ($V_t = 100$ mV, $I = 200$ pA), revealing inner structure of the bright dots in (a). Bias and current were adjusted to image the top sulfur layer only without the admixture of the tantalum orbitals. CDW modulation is larger than atomic size and peaks on the group of three S atoms, exactly above the central Ta atom of the star of David (red) (the corresponding model is shown in the inset). Each star of David has the same atomic configuration, illustrating that CDW order is commensurate with atomic lattice. **c**, Large-area STM image of the nearly commensurate CDW state at 300 K ($V_t = -250$ mV, $I = 150$ pA). Image shows periodic array of brighter areas – domains – separated with darker domain walls. Each DS appears as a bright dot. **d** Atomic resolution STM image of NCCDW ($V_t = -200$ mV, $I = 1.5$ nA) again reveals the sulfur triangles with slight admixture of tantalum orbitals. CDW modulation is clearly larger inside the domains, where contrast is higher. Dotted red line shows the single atom shift of CDW modulation that occurs upon crossing the domain wall: it is aligned with DS centers on the left, but appears shifted exactly one atom on the right. **e**, Large-area STM image ($V_t = -200$ mV, $I = 100$ pA) of the hidden state with the switching done with a single ultrafast optical pulse. The image demonstrates dense and well-defined domain walls network (thick dark lines) appearing on top of periodic CDW lattice (small bright spots). **f**, Atomic resolution STM image of several domains in the hidden state ($V_t = -800$ mV, $I = 1.5$ nA). CDW is commensurate with atomic lattice within the domain, as seen from the same atomic configuration of each of the stars of David (shown in red). Dashed red lines are aligned with DS centers in one domain, but are shifted by a single atomic period on crossing the domain wall, similar to NC state.




FIG. 3: Reciprocal space analysis of hidden state in relation to equilibrium states: **a**, Fourier transform (FT) of the atomically resolved STM image of CCDW state (Fig. 2b) demonstrates commensurability in reciprocal space: peaks of atomic (black) and CDW (red) lattices coincide at certain positions. The two lattices are rotated by the angle $\phi = 13.9^\circ$ for CCDW state. a^* and b^* are unit vectors of the atomic reciprocal lattice and $(Q_C^{(1)}, Q_C^{(2)}, Q_C^{(3)})$ – of the CDW reciprocal lattice. **b**, Sketch demonstrating commensurability condition in CCDW state in reciprocal space: reflexes of atomic (black) and CDW (red) lattices coincide for $3Q_C^{(1)} - Q_C^{(2)} = a^*$. **c** FT of the STM image of NCCDW state (Fig. 2c) shows the CDW reciprocal unit cell with clear splitting of CDW peaks. Inset zooms in the area within the black square. Highest-intensity $Q_{NC}^{(1)}$ peak (red) is surrounded by 3 neighbors (blue) of different intensity. The most intense of these three is connected by $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ vector with $Q_{NC}^{(1)}$ and corresponds to $Q_{sat}^{(1)}$ satellite (rotated by Θ). Other two are satellites reflected to $Q_{NC}^{(1)}$ position (see Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig. 3). FT amplitude is vanishing in between the peaks. **d**, Sketch of commensurability condition in NCCDW state: now atomic (black) and CDW (red) lattices do not coincide, but introduction of additional CDW modulation $Q_{sat}^{(i)}$ (blue) restores commensurability in the form $Q_{sat}^{(1)} + 2Q_{NC}^{(1)} - Q_{NC}^{(2)} = a^*$. **e**, FT of the atomic resolution STM image in the hidden state (Fig. 2f). Black (red) hexagon shows reciprocal unit cell of the atomic (CDW) lattice. CDW rotation angle $\phi \approx 13.45 \pm 0.35^\circ$ can be measured. **f**, FT of the large-scale STM image of the hidden state (Fig. 2e) reveals CDW unit cell with six bright peaks – fundamental vectors (red) – and long three-fold “tails”, protracting as much as $\Theta_{max} \approx 9.5^\circ$. **g**, Angular cross-sections of the $Q_H^{(1)}$ fundamental CDW peak in the FT of the hidden state (f). Red dots are experimental points, line shows the gaussian fit with FWHM = 0.163° . The value this small clearly shows that CDW wavevectors $Q_H^{(i)}$ are well-defined on large scales and represent the LRO state. **h**, Zoom-in of the $Q_H^{(1)}$ peak area in (f) revealing the details of the Nakanishi-Shiba model: fundamental peak is shown in red, satellite peaks – with blue circles, fitted $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ harmonics – in purple (see Supplementary Information for the details of fitting).




FIG. 4: **Analysis of the domain structure in the hidden state:** **a**, Parametric $(\phi, Q^{(i)}/a^*, \Theta)$ diagram of CDW states illustrating the difference between the equilibrium and hidden states in TDS. Blue surface shows the first harmonic, Q_{sat} , satellite positions Θ within the Nakanishi-Shiba model (respective angles and vectors are shown on the sketch). Solid lines show experimentally observed equilibrium trajectory of NCCDW (blue) state²⁵ upon cooling, which then changes discontinuously to CCDW (green) state as temperature is lowered. Hidden state (fitted ϕ and its value from atomic resolution scan are close) is located in between C and NC states, and does not lie on the continuation of NC trajectory (dotted line). Most importantly, hidden state satellites (domain structure) follow different axis: instead of rotating CDW vector, higher harmonics are excited to match the photodoping level. **b**, **c**, Close-up of the two regions in Fig. 2e, demonstrating the domains most similar to the real-space model of the third, **d**, and of the fifth, **e**, Nakanishi-Shiba harmonics of $k_{domain}^{(i)}$. **f**, Comparison of polaron density in different CDW states. Green bar shows the number of sites, n_{sites} , in the CDW lattice, which either belong to the domains (occupied by polarons) or domain walls (empty). It increases from C through H to NC state as CDW periods decrease correspondingly. Blue bar is the average density of sites belonging to the domains or, equivalently, the polaron density, n_p (see Supplementary Information for the details of counting).

Supplementary Information for “Long range electronic order in a metastable state created by ultrafast topological transformation”

Yaroslav A. Gerasimenko,^{*} Igor Vaskivskyi, and Dragan Mihailovic[†]

CENN Nanocenter, Jamova 39, SI-1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia and

Department of Complex Matter, Jozef Stefan Institute,

Jamova 39, SI-1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia

(Dated: April 27, 2017)

Supplementary Note: simulation of CDW states using Nakanishi-Shiba model

Below we summarize how various CDW states in 1T-TaS₂ are built in real and reciprocal space. The fundamental CDW vectors ($Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}, Q^{(3)}$) form the triangular basis, which creates star of David distortion of the Ta atomic lattice. They appear in the IC state aligned with atomic lattice vectors, whereas in NC and C states they are shrunk and rotated. One can thus describe them as the following product:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q^{(1)} & Q^{(2)} & Q^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a^* \\ b^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi) & -\sin(\phi) \\ \sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) \end{pmatrix},$$

where a^*, b^* are reciprocal vectors of Ta triangular atomic lattice, $\gamma = Q^{(i)}/a^*$ is star of David size and ϕ is the rotation angle between CDW and atomic lattices.

In CCDW state the fundamental $Q_C^{(i)}$ is determined by the commensurability condition:

$$Q_C^{(1)} = \frac{1}{13}(3a^* + b^*)$$

or, equivalently,


$$3Q_C^{(1)} - Q_C^{(2)} = a^*. \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

The above commensurability condition allows to calculate the ideal length of CDW vector, $Q_C^{(i)}/a^* \approx 0.277$, and its rotation w.r.t. atomic lattice, $\phi \approx 13.9^\circ$.

Real space images of IC and C state can be readily built as the following sum:

$$Z = \Re \left[\sum_i \exp(iQ^{(i)}R) + \exp(ia^*R) + \exp(ib^*R) \right],$$

where R is in-plane real-space vector. The sum term gives CDW modulation, the last two – atomic modulation. **Supplementary Figure 1a-d** shows the real and reciprocal space models of IC and C states. In the commensurate case each star of David is centered at a certain Ta atom position, resulting in the same atomic structure of all CDW units across the sample (**Supplementary Figure 1c**). This feature can be checked experimentally in atomic resolution STM images and is indeed observed in C, NC and H states (main text, Fig. 2b,d,g). In contrast, violation of commensurability will result in irregular atomic configuration for each star of David, as illustrated in **Supplementary Figure 1a**.



Supplementary Figure 1: Model of IC and C states: **a, c**, Real space models. Star of David distortion is shown by red polygon. In the commensurate state DS centers coincide with certain Ta atoms and, in contrast, have random atomic surrounding in the incommensurate state. **b, d**, Reciprocal space (FT) models, illustrating the relation between atomic (black) and CDW (red) lattices.

In NCCDW state the periodic domain structure appears, a model example of which is shown in **Supplementary Figure 2a**. Domains appear as brighter areas, which are separated by darker domain walls. CDW inside the domains is commensurate, but has different phase in neighboring domains. The phase change occurs inside the domain walls. To describe such state it is necessary to add the vectors describing a domain network to $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ basis of CDW.

It can be modelled with simple approach used to interpret the early X-ray results², which considers domain structure as a result of the interference of two triple CDWs. One of them is given by the three fundamental NCCDW vectors, whereas the other has smaller amplitude and is given by three additional vectors chosen in such way, that their linear combination with fundamental ones will be equal to some vector of reciprocal atomic lattice. This gives the new commensurability condition:

$$Q_{sat}^{(1)} = -2Q_{NC}^{(1)} + Q_{NC}^{(2)} + a^*. \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

The corresponding vectors are shown in Figure 2b,c. It is worth noting, that the domain wall is always two stars of David thick, independent of $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$, as long as (**Equation 2**) is fulfilled. This model also gives slowly varying modulation of height, peaking at the domain centre (cf. **Supplementary Figure 2a**). Real space image is then given by:

$$Z = \Re \left[\sum_i \left(\exp(iQ_{NC}^{(i)}R) + \exp(iQ_{sat}^{(i)}R) \right) + \exp(ia^*R) + \exp(ib^*R) \right].$$

Full details of the nearly commensurate state are captured with Nakanishi-Shiba theory¹, which considers NC state as a product of CCDW and periodic domain structure overlayed on top of it:

$$Z = \sum_i \exp(Q_C^{(i)}R) \Psi^{(i)}(R),$$

where $Q_C^{(i)}$ are fundamental CCDW wavevectors and

$$\Psi^{(i)}(R) = \sum_{l,m,n \geq 0} \Delta_{lmn} \exp(iq_{lmn}^{(i)}R)$$

is the modulation responsible for the domain structure.

Modulation periods are given by:

$$q_{lmn}^{(i)} = lk_{domain}^{(1)} + mk_{domain}^{(2)} + nk_{domain}^{(3)} + q^{(i)}. \quad \textbf{Equation 3}$$

Here the last term is the discommensuration vector:

$$q^{(i)} = Q_C^{(i)} - Q_{NC}^{(i)} \quad \textbf{Equation 4}$$

with $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ being the fundamental NCCDW wave vector (see **Supplementary Figure 2d**). $q^{(i)}$ therefore represent the deviation from commensurability. The correct domain periodicity should result in the commensurate CDW inside the domains and thus can be calculated using commensurability condition similar to that for fundamental vectors (**Equation 1**):

$$k_{domain}^{(1)} = 3q^{(1)} - q^{(2)} \quad \textbf{Equation 5}$$

The above equations are illustrated in Figure 2d.


These two approaches are equivalent and connected by the relation (**Supplementary Figure 2c**):

$$k_{domain}^{(1)} = Q_{NC}^{(1)} - Q_{sat}^{(1)}. \quad \textbf{Equation 6}$$


Finally, additional satellites can appear from linear combinations of $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ and $Q_{sat}^{(j)}$ where $i \neq j$. This is equivalent to several of (l, m, n) coefficients being non-zero in NS model (**Equation 3**). For example, the satellite $-k_{domain}^{(3)}$ will be seen near $Q_{NC}^{(2)}$ as a result of the following vector equation (see **Supplementary Figure 2e**):

$$-k_{domain}^{(3)} = -Q_{NC}^{(1)} - Q_{sat}^{(3)}. \quad \textbf{Equation 7}$$

Below we will refer to these satellites as $-k_{domain}^{(i\pm 1)}$, where i determines the fundamental peak $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$, near which the satellite $(i \pm 1)$ is observed. They are often seen in FT of STM images obtained in NC state, though their intensity is much smaller compared to that of $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ (cf. the inset to Fig. 3c in the main text). The relation between these satellites and domain packing is shown in **Supplementary Figure 3**.



Supplementary Figure 2: Real and reciprocal (FT) space models of NCCDW: **a**, Real space model of NCCDW state with overlaid tantalum lattice. Angle between CDW and atomic lattices used in the simulation is $\phi = 11.7$ and periods ratio is $Q_{NC}^{(i)}/a^* = 0.283$. Star of David distortion is shown with red polygons and is commensurate with Ta lattice inside domains. **b**, Reciprocal space model showing relation between atomic and CDW lattices. Atomic vectors are shown in black, $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ – in red, $Q_{sat}^{(i)}$ – in blue. This model uses commensurability condition (**Equation 2**) in the NC state to calculate satellites, $Q_{sat}^{(i)}$, position. **c**, Zoom-in of the reciprocal space model, showing in details the CDW unit cell. This panel demonstrates the relation between satellites and domain structure vectors, $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ (green). **d**, Zoom-in of the $Q_{NC}^{(2)}$ area of the reciprocal space model, demonstrating the relation between Nakanishi-Shiba model and satellite structure. Discommensuration vectors of Nakanishi-Shiba model, $q^{(i)} = Q_C^{(i)} - Q_{NC}^{(i)}$, (**Equation 4**) are shown in black. Their vector sum determines the domain period, $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ according to the equation (**Equation 5**). **e**, Possible satellite positions near the fundamental peak for the case of $Q_{NC}^{(2)}$. One of them corresponds to $k_{domain}^{(2)}$, other two are described by $-k_{domain}^{(j)}$, where $j = 1, 3$ in this case and result from the interference of $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ and $Q_P^{(j)}$ (see (**Equation 7**)).




Supplementary Figure 3: Relation between satellites and packing of hexagonal domains in NCCDW: **a**, Simulation with $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ and $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ satellites showing the hexagonal domains with clearly visible domain walls in between. This image is characteristic to NCCDW state. Top inset shows the FT. Bottom inset shows how CDW modulation is overlaid on top of atomic lattice in the area of domain wall. Star of David patterns show the $a + b$ atom phase shift inside the domain wall (cf.⁴). **b**, **c**, Simulation with $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ and $-k_{domain}^{(i-1)}$ (in (b)) or $-k_{domain}^{(i+1)}$ (in (c)) satellites. Whereas domains stay almost in place, the domain walls structure is different. None of (b) or (c) alone are realized experimentally in NCCDW state. **d**, **e**, Simulation with $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$, $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ and $k_{domain}^{(i-1)}$ (in (d)) and $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ and $-k_{domain}^{(i+1)}$ (in (e)). These images are also characteristic to NCCDW state, though compared to (a) they have different phase shift inside the domain wall, resulting in different star of David arrangements (see bottom insets). **f**, Simulation with $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$, $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ and both $-k_{domain}^{(i+1)}$ and $-k_{domain}^{(i-1)}$ is equivalent to the (a) case.


Supplementary note: error estimation in HCDW ϕ angle measurement

To determine the HCDW vector length and angle from FT of the atomic-resolution image (main text, Fig. 3e) we used peak positions for atomic and second order CDW reflexes. The latter are still well seen, compared to the third order ones, and have higher pixel resolution, compared to the first order ones. The values obtained this way were averaged among the

three independent directions, $Q_H^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. With the FT resolution available in atomically-resolved scans the error is limited by the pixel size. The error bars are thus set as an error introduced by the single pixel shift of the reflex position on the average value of either angle or length.



Supplementary Figure 4: 2D view of the parametric diagram of CDW states: the behavior of the fundamental CDW vector either with temperature (equilibrium: NC and C) or after optical excitation (hidden) is represented in terms of the length ($Q^{(i)}/a^*$) and the angle between atomic and CDW lattices (ϕ). Yellow line and diamonds show experimentally observed NC states³ till $T = 195$ K, below which CDW vector changes discontinuously to C state (blue diamond). Yellow dotted line shows the continuation of NC trend. Red dashed line connects NC and C state, though no stable states were observed in this region of parameters before. Hidden states parameters measured in our experiment are shown with respective error bars by two red points: one from atomic resolution (larger error), another - from satellite positions (error is equal to experimental FWHM of the fundamental peak).



Supplementary Figure 5: Single Q_H in the optically switched hidden state: **a** Part of STM image (main text, Fig. 2e) of the optically switched hidden state. The areas with large and small domains are marked with squares I and II. **b, c**, FT of the areas I and II: the fundamental vector (red) $Q_H^{(1)}$ remains the same, while the satellite positions (blue) changes in accord with the real-space domain sizes. Therefore, in the FT of the full image multiple satellites correspond to the same fundamental vector.

Supplementary Method: Fitting FT peaks position in hidden state with Nakanishi-Shiba model.

In order to get high value of satellite spread angle Θ_{max} and small deviation of the CDW rotation angle ϕ from its C-state value within the NS model, we use $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ harmonics (see also **Supplementary Figure 10d**). The fitting procedure of the real data with NS model is given below.


In the first step we estimate the required number of harmonics. To this end, we find the smallest angular distance between the fundamental, $Q_H^{(1)}$, peak and the satellite peaks around, which in this case is $\Theta_1 \approx 1.7^\circ$. This value appears the same, independent of the fundamental peak (i.e. $i = 2, 3$) It gives a rough estimate of $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ length and the number of groups as $\Theta_{max}/\Theta_1 = 5$. Indeed, one can see from the cross-section that the peaks along $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ direction can be separated into five groups (**Supplementary Figure 6**).

In the second step, we determine the averaged peak positions for each group. Peaks along the $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ direction in the experimental data (cf. Fig. 3f in the main text) are additionally split in the radial direction by 2-3 pixels. This gives the characteristic scale of the additional


modulation equal to 1/3 of the scan size or ~ 70 nm. The only periodicity that can be found on such scale in real space image corresponds to the distance between groups of small domains, separated by groups of larger domains and vice versa. This kind of modulation is irregular and cannot be described by NS model. We collapse them into 'average' single peak using the simple centroid procedure described below.

To this end we estimate seed positions, that should be located at multiples of $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ and hence lie on a straight line. Then we take rectangular area centered at seed centers and 1.7° wide along $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ and 10 pixels in the radial direction and calculate the weighted centroid for each of these areas. All the real experimental FT points included in the averaging, not just detected peaks. The resulting centroid FT coordinates are used as average peak positions to be fitted with NS model.


Fit is done using the least squares method. The quantity minimized is the deviation of all five NS harmonics of $k_{domain}^{(1)}$ from the average experimental points. In the NS model we vary the $Q_H^{(1)}$ parameters ϕ and $Q_H^{(1)}/a^*$ and thus change direction and length of $k_{domain}^{(1)}$.



Supplementary Figure 6: 7-line average FT cross-section through satellites near $Q_H^{(1)}$: the highest peak corresponds to the fundamental vector $Q_H^{(1)}$, whereas smaller peaks show that satellites are grouped near five positions.



Supplementary Figure 7: Triclinic CDW state: **a**, STM image of a triclinic CDW state measured on heating at 240 K ($V_t = -800$ mV, $I_t = 100$ pA) demonstrating domains (stripes) elongated in one direction (orange arrow) and **b**, its Fourier transform. CDW unit cell is shown in red. Only 4 of 6 CDW peaks are clearly split, whereas two other ($\pm Q_T^{(1)}$) has no satellites. This type of loss of hexagonal symmetry of the domain structure (not CDW itself) is the signature of the triclinic state⁵. Amplitude modulation peaks are marked green. **c**, zoom-in of the central part of FT (b) showing that hexagonal symmetry of amplitude modulation is also lost in the triclinic state. (b)-(c) allows to tell unambiguously triclinic state from hidden. **d**, the same zoom-in for FT of NCCDW state at 300 K (from Fig. 3c in main text), showing the hexagonal symmetry of the amplitude modulation.



Supplementary Figure 8: Domain wall behavior in adjacent layers: STM image of the hidden state measured with large setpoint current ($V_t = -800$ mV, $I_t = 1.5$ nA), which allows to get information about the TaS₂ layer beneath. Three domain walls in the top layer (dark grooves approx. 2 DS wide) are marked with dashed yellow lines. Domain walls beneath can be identified by the darker areas within the domains and are marked with dashed red lines. Crossing of domain walls in the adjacent layers appears as a dip (see arrow). It is clearly seen, that the domain walls in the adjacent layers tend to avoid each other. This observation suggests that the role of interlayer interactions cannot be ruled out.


Supplementary Discussion 1: analysis of hidden state obtained by electrical switching with STM tip

Switching from CCDW to metastable metallic hidden state can be done also with electrical pulse⁶ applied between two contacts on top of 1T-TaS₂ crystal. Alternatively, the pulse can be applied between the crystal and STM tip^{4,7,8}, and the resulting state can be imaged in situ. Below we perform the same analysis of electrically switched state as the one presented in the main text for the optically switched state.

Here the best switching results were obtained for small tip-sample separation with a low pulse voltage ($V_p \sim 3.5$ V). **Supplementary Figure 9a** shows that the homogeneous CCDW state breaks down into an irregular array of domains separated by sharply defined walls (they appear bright in the scan due to different sign of tip bias, compared to the figures in the main text), apparently similar to the optically switched hidden state (o-HCDW). Fourier transform (**Supplementary Figure 9b**) reveals the CDW unit cell with the six peaks and their satellites. The spread of the latter, $\Theta_{max} \approx 5.5^\circ$, is almost twice smaller

compared to that of o-HCDW, which reflects smaller domain walls density in case of electrical switching. The zoom-in of the area around one of the peaks shows that satellite structure is smeared and intensity along $-k_{domain}^{(i\pm1)}$ directions is vanishingly small, in contrast to more well defined structure in the o-HCDW state. Even though FT resolution is somewhat worse due to smaller size of the scan (80 nm *vs* 200 nm for o-HCDW), the fundamental peak is strongly smeared, FWHM = 0.754° , as can be seen from angular cross-section in **Supplementary Figure 9c**. The above results present strong evidence towards less homogeneous transition to hidden state and absence of the true LRO in case of electrical switching.

Smaller Θ_{max} in case of electrical switching suggests that optical pulse excites the electronic system more strongly. The different kind of domain walls (absence of $-k_{domain}^{(i\pm1)}$ satellites) implies that the nature of excitation also plays role in determining the resulting domain structure. The above arguments allow us to discuss optical switching separately from the electrical one.



Supplementary Figure 9: Electric switching to hidden state with STM tip: **a**, Large-scale STM image of the domain state obtained after in situ switching with STM tip at 4.2 K ($V_t = 800$ mV, $I_t = 500$ pA); **b**, Fourier transform of the STM image in (a), showing the CDW unit cell (red). The length of satellite tail is $\Theta_{max} \approx 5.5^\circ$, which is smaller compared to 9.5° observed in the optically switched hidden state. Inset shows the zoom-in of the $Q_{electric}^{(2)}$ peak area, which appears quite smeared with structure barely seen. The satellites corresponding to $-k_{domain}^{(i\pm 1)}$ are absent. **c**, Angular cross-section of the $Q_{electric}^{(2)}$ peak along q_x (red) and q_y (blue) FT axes. Solid lines are Gaussian fits.


Supplementary discussion 2: Nakanishi-Shiba model of supercooled NCCDW

Since NS theory describes well the domain structure of NCCDW state, we can use it to model various outcomes that could be found for supercooled NCCDW state. Here we consider two relevant scenarios: (i) “frozen” domains with single Q_{NC} and (ii) sum of “frozen” domains corresponding to different Q_{NC} .

The first scenario is equivalent to the trajectory, where the system is heated to some temperature above C–NC transition and then is quenched to low temperatures. The model FT corresponding to this scenario is shown in **Supplementary Figure 10a**, where the parameters are chosen to obtain large Θ angle (length of satellite tail) similar to that in experiment. In FT picture we should observe single fundamental $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ set of peaks and with one $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ satellite, determined by the commensurability condition (**Equation 2**). Two domains are unlikely to merge into larger one, given Q_{NC} is fixed. Indeed, the mismatch between commensurate and real NCCDW lattices increases with size, resulting in energy loss, not gain. Hence, only $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ corresponding to $l + m + n = 1$ in (**Equation 3**) are allowed. The only path of merging two domains into larger one can occur with simultaneous rotation of Q_{NC} inside the domain, i.e. another Q_{NC1} will appear in FT (see **Supplementary Figure 10b**). This situation is discussed below.

The second scenario corresponds to the trajectory, where high-temperature state is quenched at intermediate rates and different Q_{NC} are present in real-space picture. Another option is that single- Q rapidly quenched state has relaxed at low temperatures, as described above. In both trajectories the FT of such image will result in a number of $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ and $k_{domain}^{(i)}$ peaks (see **Supplementary Figure 10c**). This scenario has two distinct features in the FT picture. First, fundamental peak will be smeared and satellites will no longer be equidistant (due to random choice of local fundamental vectors). Second, satellites will not lie on the same line with fundamental peak. Both these features are in contrast to experimentally observed FT picture.

For comparison the model CDW state with 5 harmonics used to fit experimental data for the hidden state is shown in **Supplementary Figure 10d**. The features of model FT of supercooled NC state are absent here and the pictures are qualitatively different. Thus hidden state cannot be considered as a supercooled NCCDW state.



Supplementary Figure 10: Comparison of FT pictures for five-harmonic CDW (hidden) and for various scenarios of supercooled NCCDW: **a**, First scenario: quenched high-temperature NCCDW state with $\phi = 11.7$ and $Q_{NC}^{(i)}/a^* = 0.286$. No shorter k_{domain} are allowed. **b**, HT NC state relaxes partially to a configuration closer to CCDW. Additional $Q_{NC1}^{(i)}$ (red dot) appears with e.g. $\phi = 13.5$ and $Q_{NC1}^{(i)}/a^* = 0.279$ closer to that of CCDW (13.9° , 0.277). The corresponding satellites are shown with blue dots. Green dotted lines show the direction of all the k_{domain} for the original $Q_{NC}^{(i)}$ vector. **c**, Second scenario: domains with different Q_{NC} (red dots) are frozen during quench ($\phi = (11.7 \times 13.5)$, $Q^{(i)}/a^* = (0.286 \times 0.279)$). Each of Q_{NC} has its own set of satellites with different k_{domain} , shown with blue dots. The satellites no longer lie on the green dotted line, which connect the highest-temperature Q_{NC} and its satellites. **d**, Five-harmonic CDW used to fit experimental data. Red vector shows the experimentally determined $Q_H^{(i)}$ with $\phi = 13.5$ and $Q_H^{(i)}/a^* = 0.279$. Blue dots illustrate the five harmonics of $k_{domain}^{(i)}$. All of them correspond to either of three lines, which originate from fundamental peak (compare to panel (c)).


Supplementary note: Polaron count

Commensurate CDW state has a polaron crystal structure¹⁰, where exactly one electron is localized in the middle of the star of David. In a hidden state, part of these localized electrons is converted into itinerant ones. The doping is then determined as the difference between number of possible sites and number of polarons or, equivalently, the domain walls density. Below we describe how the counting was performed.

Each star of David appears as a well-defined peak in STM images. These peaks can be identified with the maximum filter based on the dilation algorithm. Here we have used its implementation in the scikit-image library for Python¹¹. Two parameters can be varied in the algorithm: peak height and minimum number of pixels separating two neighboring peaks. The latter should match polaron size for correct detection. The former can be used to distinguish peaks belonging to either domains or domain walls. Examples for H, C and NC states are shown in **Supplementary Figure 11a-c**.

There are two sources of errors. First is related to edge effects, when several pixels of some peak are cut by the image edge and thus it is not detected (cf. **Supplementary Figure 11b**). For the chosen size of images this error is about 1%, as can be estimated by comparing the calculated density with that of densely packed hexagonal lattice of circles. The second source is related to height modulation coming from defects, different contrast for different kinds of domain walls etc. In this case hand correction was used to remove false positives.


Finally, domain wall density in hidden state varies in space. To account for this effect, polaron count was performed in more and less dense areas and the error bars were set respectively.



Supplementary Figure 11: Examples of polaron count in different CDW states: Polaron positions are marked with red dots on the STM images of **a**, hidden state (lower left corner) in Fig. 2e (main text); **b**, CCDW state in Fig. 2a (main text); **c**, NCCDW state in Fig. 2c (main text).

Supplementary Note: Correction of STM images.

STM fine X-Y piezoscanners were calibrated at low temperatures within ten percents before measurements. Later each picture was corrected with FFT peaks to match the triangular lattice of stars of David, i.e. using 2 fundamental CDW vectors. Correction for scanner calibration, drift and X-Y crosstalk was done either by calculating the affine transform between the observed and ideal lattice. In all the cases 1.174nm was taken for CDW period, but this choice affects neither ratio between CDW and atomic periods nor the angles. The ratios were checked for consistency with positions of atomic peaks in FT whenever possible. The ratios were checked for all – H, C, NC – states.



Supplementary Figure 12: Full images of the optically switched hidden state used for analysis: **a** – large-scale and **b** – atomic resolution. For clarity, only parts of these images are show in the main text in Fig. 2e,f. Fourier transform is done on the full images.

* Electronic address: yaroslav.gerasimenko@ijs.si

† Electronic address: dragan.mihailovic@ijs.si

¹ Nakanishi, K., Takatera, H., Yamada, Y., Shiba, H. The Nearly Commensurate Phase and Effect of Harmonics on the Successive Phase Transition in 1T-TaS₂. *J. Phys. Soc. Jap.* **43**, 1509-1517 (1977).

² Yamada, Y. and Takatera, H. Origin of the Stabilization of the Nearly Commensurate Phase in 1T-TaS₂. *Sol. Stat. Comm.* **21**, 41-44 (1977).

³ Scruby, C. B., Williams, P. M., Parry, G. S. The role of charge density waves in structural transformations of 1T-TaS₂. *Phil. Mag.* **31**, 255-274 (1975).

⁴ Ma, L. *et al.* A metallic mosaic phase and the origin of Mott-insulating state in 1T-TaS₂. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10956 (2016).

⁵ Thomson, R. E., Burk, B., Zettl, A., Clarke, J. Scanning tunneling microscopy of the charge-density-wave structure in 1T-TaS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 16899 (1994).

⁶ Vaskivskiy, I. *et al.* Fast electronic resistance switching involving hidden charge density wave

- states. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11442 (2016).
- ⁷ Kim, P., Zhang, J. and Lieber, C. M. Charge Density Wave Formation in Nanocrystals. *Sol. Stat. Phys.* **55**, 119-157 (2001).
- ⁸ Cho, D. *et al.* Nanoscale manipulation of the Mott insulating state coupled to charge order in 1T-TaS₂, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10453 (2016).
- ⁹ Stojchevska, L. *et al.* Ultrafast Switching to a Stable Hidden Quantum State in an Electronic Crystal. *Science* **344**, 177180 (2014).
- ¹⁰ Brazovskii, S. Modeling of Evolution of a Complex Electronic System to an Ordered Hidden State: Application to Optical Quench in 1T-TaS₂. *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.* **28**, 1349-1353 (2015).
- ¹¹ <http://scikit-image.org/docs/dev/api/skimimage.feature.html#peak-local-max>